Fragmentation





August 1948

SHORTER CONTRIBUTIONS

THE DISTRIBUTION OF RAINDROPS WITH SIZE

By J. S. Marshall and W. McK. Palmer¹

McGill University, Montreal (Manuscript received 26 January 1948)

Measurements of raindrop records on dyed filter papers were made for correlation with radar echoes (Marshall, Langille, and Palmer, 1947). These measurements have been analyzed to give the distribution of drops with size (fig. 1). The distributions are in fair agreement with those of Laws and Parsons (1943).



FIG. 1. Distribution of number versus diameter for raindrops recorded at Ottawa, summer 1946. Curve A is for rate of rainfall 1.0 mm hr⁻¹, curves B, C, D, for 2.8, 6.3, 23.0 mm hr⁻¹. $N_{\rm D}\delta D$ is the number of drops per cubic meter, of diameter between D and $D + \delta D$ mm. Multiplication by 10^{-6} will convert $N_{\rm D}$ to the units of equation (2).

Except at small diameters, both sets of experimental observations can be fitted (fig. 2) by a general relation,

$$N_{\rm D} = N_0 e^{-\Lambda D}, \qquad (1)$$

where D is the diameter, $N_{\rm D}\delta D$ is the number of drops of diameter between D and $D + \delta D$ in unit volume of space, and N_0 is the value of $N_{\rm D}$ for D = 0.

It is found that

$$N_0 = 0.08 \text{ cm}^{-4} \tag{2}$$

for any intensity of rainfall, and that

$$\Lambda = 41 \ R^{-0.21} \ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}, \tag{3}$$

where R is the rate of rainfall in mm hr⁻¹.

For diameters less than about 1.5 mm, both sets of observations fall short of the value for N_D given by equation (1), and they disagree slightly with each other. Laws and Parsons' observations are better in

 $^1\mbox{Holding}$ a Bursary of the National Research Council of Canada.

this region, and tend toward a common value of N_0 for all rates of rainfall.

The mass of rain water M per unit volume of space, and the sum Z of sixth powers of drop diameters in unit volume (a radar quantity), can be calculated as functions of Λ from equation (1), and so correlated with the rate of rainfall R by equation (3). It is of interest to compare these correlations with those obtained when M, Z, and R are determined more directly from the experimental records (table 1). The deficit of

TABLE 1. $M = \frac{1}{6}\pi \Sigma N_{\rm D} D^3 \delta D$ and $Z = \Sigma N_{\rm D} D^5 \delta D$ as functions of the rate of rainfall *R*.

Reference	<i>M</i> mgm m⁻³	<i>Z</i> mm ⁶ m [−] 3
Marshall, Langille and Palmer (1947)	80 R ^{0.83}	190 R ^{1.72}
Revision of the above	72 R ^{0.88}	220 R ^{1.60}
Z/R correlation by Wexler (1947) (data of Laws and Parsons, 1943)	68 R ^{0.88}	320 R ^{1.44}
From equations (1) and (3)	89 R ^{0.84}	296 $R^{1.47}$

small drops in the observations, as compared with equation (1), should make the observed value of M, and to a lesser extent that of Z, smaller than those derived from the equations.



FIG. 2. Distribution function (solid straight lines) compared with results of Laws and Parsons (broken lines) and Ottawa observations (dotted lines).

Marshall-Palmer (1948)

Drop size distribution





Bentley (1904)

wind induced sprays



Marmottant & Villermanx, JFM (2004)

wind induced sprays





Marmottant & Villermaux, JFM (2004)

wind induced sprays







Marmottant & Villermanx, JFM (2004)

MARCH PHYSICS TODAY



Dynamic capillarity

Drop size distribution



from ligaments $P(X = d/\langle d \rangle) = \frac{n^n}{\Gamma(n)} X^{n-1} e^{-nX}$ $n \simeq 4$

in the spray

Fast withdrawal



Marmottant & Villermaux, Phys. Fluids (2004)

Fast withdrawal





Marmottant & Villermaux, Phys. Fluids (2004)

Fast withdrawal





Marmottant & Villermaux, Phys. Fluids (2004)

Bursting bubble



Bursting bubble





Soap film



		4
•		5
1		¥.
		The second s
		1
1.		
- Ch		
1. man		
	the second second	
	$\langle \langle \rangle$	
	<pre></pre>	

bottom

top

time









Bremond & Villermaux, JFM (2005)





Bremond & Villermaux, JFM (2005)





Bremond & Villermaux, JFM (2005)

Dombrowskí & Frazer (1954)

Blowing on Soap Films



Acceleration $\sim \frac{\ell}{\tau^2}$ $\frac{10^{-2} \text{m}}{(10^{-2} \text{s})^2} = 10^2 \text{ m s}^{-2}$ = 10 g !

Mechanism:

 $p_i = -\rho_i g z|_{i=1,2}$



EN



 ρ_1

>

Lergy:
$$E = -\int_{0}^{\xi} (p_{1} - p_{2}) dz$$

 $= \int_{0}^{\xi} (\rho_{1} - \rho_{2}) gz dz$
 $= \frac{1}{2} (\rho_{1} - \rho_{2}) g\xi^{2} < 0 \text{ if } \rho_{2}$

with surface tension:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1 - \rho_2)g\xi^2 + \sigma\{\sqrt{1 + \xi'^2} - 1\}$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2}(\rho_1 - \rho_2)g\xi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\xi'^2$$

$$\xi(x,t) \sim e^{ikx - i\omega t}$$

Mode selection:

$$c_c = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \rho g}{\sigma}}$$

Growth rate:

$$\operatorname{Re}(-i\omega) \sim \sqrt{gk_c}$$

Layer with finite thickness

 $\xi_{\pm} \sim e^{ikx - i\omega t}$



Energy: $(\rho_2 = 0; \rho_1 \equiv \rho)$ $E = \int dx \left\{ \int_{-h/2+\xi_-}^{h/2+\xi_+} \rho g z dz + \sigma \left(\sqrt{1 + |\xi_+^{\prime 2}|} - 1 + \sqrt{1 + |\xi_-^{\prime 2}|} - 1 \right) \right\}$

E<0 if: $\xi_{-}^2 - \xi_{+}^2 > (k/k_c)^2 (\xi_{-}^2 + \xi_{+}^2)$

if: $kh \gg 1$ then no coupling (Taylor 1950)

if: $kh \ll 1$ let $\xi_+/\xi_- \sim 1 - kh$ then $k_{ m m} \sim k_{ m c}^2 h$ Growth rate $\sqrt{gk_{ m m}} \sim \sqrt{\rho g^2 h/\sigma}$

Full dispersion equation (Keller-Kolodner (1954))

$$\omega^{2} = k^{3} \coth(k) \left\{ 1 \pm \left[1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{k_{c}}{k} \right)^{4} \right) \tanh^{2}(k) \right]^{1/2} \right\}$$

$$k \equiv kh$$

$$\omega \equiv \omega \sqrt{\rho h^{3} / \sigma}$$

For $k_c \gg 1$, then $\omega^2 = k^3 \{1 - (k_c/k)^2\}$ For $k_c \ll 1$, then $\omega^2 = k^4/2\{1 - (k_c/k)^4\}$













Bremond & Villermaux, JFM (2005)

Different incoming wave Mach numbers



Front view, reflection



M=1.07

Number of holes n versus time



timescales

wavenumber



Impacted cavities









Liquid sheets (Savart 1833)



Drop size distribution



$$P(X = d/\langle d \rangle) = \frac{n^n}{\Gamma(n)} X^{n-1} e^{-nX}$$
$$n \simeq 5$$

Fragmentation : usual views 1) Cascade: x,C dis = random multipliers Kolmogarov J. 1-14, VN = TRX: Jo ln (v) Normally distributed Thermodynamics: (stockmazer, longet. Higgin,) 2) n monomers Monomers Of i monomers $W = \frac{n!}{\operatorname{Trn}! (i!)^{ni}}$ $\delta W = 0 \implies P(i) = \overline{e}^2 + \underline{e}^i$



Villermaux, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. (2007)

 $\partial_{y}h = \frac{1}{2} \{(ky)^{2} - (ky)^{4}\}h$ Savart w(k) Plateau Rayleigh 483112 CH3

Coalescence cascade of a drop





Thoroddsen & Takehara, Phys. Fluids (2000)

Linear dynamics: h,+1 $\partial_{t}h = \omega^{*}(k) \cdot h$



Motions along the ligament



A surface tension driven process + noize => self convolution

sketch:

J Lagers

 $q(d',t+\Delta t) = \int q(d'-d_{i},t) q(d',t) d(d')$ = $q\otimes 2$ independent layers: $n(d_{i}t) = q(d'_{i}t)$





 $(\nu \equiv n)$

Villermaux & al., PRL (2004)



de 14 ÷ = C^{sta} during coalescence



projected surface: S~ Sd^n(d,t)d(d) decreases in time -> aggregtion

impacted jets





Bremond & Villermaux, JFM (2006)

Impacted jets





Bremond & Villermaux, JFM (2006)

Impacted jets

72°

0

0

A







Volume ($\sim d_0^3$) of the ligaments







Rocket engine (SNECMA)



Sultan & Bondaoud, PRL (2006)

